arXiv:1410.4326v4 [math.GR] 10 Dec 2015

Zeta functions of finite groups by enumerating subgroups

Yumiko Hironaka
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Education and Integrated Sciences, Waseda University
Nishi-Waseda, Tokyo, 169-8050, JAPAN

abstract

For a finite group G, we consider the zeta function $\zeta_G(s) = \sum_H |H|^{-s}$, where H runs over the subgroups of G. First we give simple examples of abelian p-group G and non-abelian p-group G' of order p^m, $m \geq 3$ for odd p (resp. 2^m, $m \geq 4$) for which $\zeta_G(s) = \zeta_{G'}(s)$. Hence we see there are many non-abelian groups whose zeta functions have symmetry and Euler product, like the case of abelian groups. On the other hand, we show that $\zeta_G(s)$ determines the isomorphism class of G within abelian groups, by estimating the number of subgroups of abelian p-groups. Finally we study the problem which abelian p-group is associated with a non-abelian group having the same zeta function.

§0

Let us consider zeta functions associated to finite groups by enumerating their subgroups. For a group G, one may consider the following zeta functions if they convergent in some region

$$\zeta_G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(G)}{n^s}, \quad \zeta_G^*(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^*(G)}{n^s}, \tag{0.1}$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_n(G)$ (resp. $a_n^*(G)$) is the number of subgroups of order n (resp. index n) in G. If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, $\zeta_G^*(s)$ is nothing but the Riemann zeta function, and this is an example of Solomon's zeta functions for lattices. It seems to be natural to consider $\zeta_G^*(s)$ in general and there are many studies in that direction. As for finitely generated (infinite) groups, there are interesting

Mathematical Subject Classification 2010: 20E07(primary), 11M41, 20K01.
This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C): 24540031.

results by F. J. Grunewald, M. P. F. du Sautoy, et al. (e.g. [GSS], [Sau], [SG]).

In this paper we concentrate on finite groups and study $\zeta_G(s)$. There is no essential difference between the two zeta functions, since there is a simple relation

$$\zeta_G(s) = \frac{1}{|G|^s}\,\zeta_G^*(-s), \quad \text{(for a finite group } G\text{)}. \tag{0.2}$$

Moreover, the duality for finite abelian groups yields that $\zeta_G(s) = \zeta_G^*(s)$, hence one has

$$\zeta_G(s) = \frac{1}{|G|^s}\,\zeta_G(-s), \quad \text{(for a finite abelian group } G\text{)}. \tag{0.3}$$

In the following we consider only finite groups. It is natural to ask

[A] whether $\zeta_G(s)$ determines the isomorphism class of G or not,

[B] whether the symmetry (0.3) is essential for abelian groups or not.

In §1, we will construct examples of abelian groups and non-abelian groups that have the same zeta functions, which shows Questions [A] and [B] are negative in general. On the other hand, we will show Question [A] is affirmative within abelian groups in §2. For abelian groups, Question [A] is reduced to enumerating subgroups of abelian p-groups and combinatorial studies, and there is a close relation to automorphic forms and local densities of matrices (cf. Remark 2.8). Finally in §3, we give a partial answer for the problem which abelian p-group is associated with a non-abelian group having the same zeta function.

§1

The zeta function $\zeta_G(s)$ is a polynomial with non-negative integral coefficients of p^{-s} with various p, where and henceforth we denote by p a prime number. We start with an easy observation.

Proposition 1.1 (1) *The zeta function $\zeta_G(s)$ determines the order $|G|$ of G.*

(2) *If $|G|$ and $|G'|$ are coprime, then $\zeta_{G\times G'}(s) = \zeta_G(s) \times \zeta_{G'}(s)$.*

(3) *The fact only 1 appears as coefficients of $\zeta_G(s)$ is equivalent to that G is cyclic.*

Proof. The statements (1) and (3) are clear. Under the assumption of (2), any subgroup of $G \times G'$ is written uniquely as a direct product of the form $H \times H'$ for some subgroups H of G and H' of G'. ∎

We say $\zeta_G(s)$ has an Euler product if it can be decomposed as

$$\zeta_G(s) = \prod_{p||G|} f_p(p^{-s}), \quad f_p(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]. \tag{1.1}$$

Then we have

Proposition 1.2 *$\zeta_G(s)$ has an Euler product if and only if G is a nilpotent group, i.e. G is a direct product of its Sylow p-subgroups. The Euler product (1.1) is uniquely determined under the condition $f_p(0) = 1$ for any p dividing $|G|$.*

Proof. Assume $\zeta_G(s)$ has an Euler product of the shape (1.1). Since $\prod_p f_p(0) = 1 (= a_1(G))$, we may assume the constant term of $f_p(X)$ is equal to 1 for any prime divisor p of $|G|$. For such a p, the coefficient of X^m in $f_p(X)$ must coincide with $a_{p^m}(G)$, hence it is a non-negative integer. Denote by c_p the leading coefficient of $f_p(X)$. Then $\prod_{p||G|} c_p = 1$ yields $c_p = 1$ for any p, which means there is only one normal Sylow p-subgrop in G for each p and G is nilpotent. The converse and the uniqueness of the Euler product are clear. ∎

By the above proof, we see that the decomposition (1.1) is unique if one takes the constant terms of the Euler factors as 1 and actually given by polynomials with non-negative integral coefficients.

Here we give an example which does not have an Euler product. Take an odd integer $n(\geq 3)$ and consider the dihedral group of order $2n$

$$D_n = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1,\ \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{D_n}(s) &= 1 + n \cdot 2^{-s} + \sum_{d|n,\, d\neq 1} (d^{-s} + (2d)^{-s}) \\ &= (n-1) \cdot 2^{-s} + (1 + 2^{-s}) \prod_{p|n} f_p(p^{-s}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_p(X) = X^{m_p} + X^{m_p - 1} + \cdots + X + 1, \quad n = \prod_{p|n} p^{m_p}.$$

We construct some p-groups which are counter examples for Problems [A] and [B]. We denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n. For a prime p and

positive integers m and n such that $m > n$, we define a non-abelian group of order p^{m+n} as follows:

$$G_p(m,n) = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^{p^m} = \tau^{p^n} = 1,\ \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{1+p^{m-1}} \rangle. \tag{1.2}$$

Proposition 1.3 *Assume $G_p(m,n)$ is given as above and $m \geq 3$ if $p = 2$.*
(1) *The non-abelian group $G_p(m,n)$ and the abelian group $C_{p^m} \times C_{p^n}$ have the same zeta function, and it satisfies the symmetry (0.3).*
(2) *The p-groups of order less than or equal to p^2 if p is odd (resp. 2^3 if $p = 2$) are determined by their zeta functions.*

Proof. (1) Let us write $G = G_p(m,n)$ and assume $m \geq 3$ if $p = 2$. Since we see

$$(\sigma^i\tau^j)^r = \sigma^{i(r+\frac{r(r-1)}{2}jp^{m-1})}\tau^{rj}, \quad i,j,r \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.3}$$

we have

$$(\sigma^i\tau^j)^p = \begin{cases} \sigma^{pi}\tau^{pj} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ \sigma^{2i(1+j2^{m-2})}\tau^{2j} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus we see

$$\mathrm{ord}(\sigma^i\tau^j) = p^m \text{ if and only if } p \not| i. \tag{1.4}$$

If $p \not| ij$, the group $\langle \sigma^i\tau^{p^s j} \rangle$ contains an element $\sigma^{i'}\tau^{p^s}$ for some i' not divided by p. Further, for i, ℓ not divided by p, we see that $\langle \sigma^i\tau^{p^s} \rangle = \langle \sigma^\ell\tau^{p^s} \rangle$ if and only if $i \equiv \ell \pmod{p^{n-s}}$. Hence all the subgroups of G isomorphic to C_{p^m} are given as follows:

$$\langle \sigma^i\tau^{p^s} \rangle, \quad 0 \leq s \leq n,\ 1 \leq i \leq p^{n-s},\ p \not| i.$$

For i not divided by p, setting $\widetilde{\sigma} = \sigma^i\tau^{p^s}$, we have $G = \langle \widetilde{\sigma}, \tau \rangle$ with the same relations for σ and τ. There is a natural bijective correspondence between the set of subgroups of G containing $\langle \widetilde{\sigma} \rangle$ and that of $G/\langle \widetilde{\sigma} \rangle \cong C_{p^n}$, and there is only one subgroup K_r of G such that $(K_r : \langle \widetilde{\sigma} \rangle) = p^r$ for each r with $0 \leq r \leq n$.

On the other hand, any subgroup of G without elements of order p^m is contained in $\langle \sigma^p, \tau \rangle \cong C_{p^{m-1}} \times C_{p^n}$.

Hence we see that the subgroups of G naturally and bijectively correspond to those of $C_{p^m} \times C_{p^n}$, hence their zeta functions coincide.

(2) It is well-known that any p-group of order less than or equal to p^2 is isomorphic to C_p, C_{p^2} or $C_p \times C_p$, and any 2-group of order 8 is isomorphic to one of the following groups

$$\begin{aligned} &C_8, \quad C_4 \times C_2, \quad C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2, \\ &D_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = 1,\ \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle\ (= G_2(2,1)), \\ &Q_2 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = 1,\ \sigma^2 = \tau^2,\ \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_{C_p}(s) = 1 + p^{-s},\\
&\zeta_{C_{p^2}}(s) = 1 + p^{-s} + p^{-2s},\\
&\zeta_{C_p \times C_p}(s) = 1 + (p+1)p^{-s} + p^{-2s},\\
&\zeta_{C_8}(s) = 1 + 2^{-s} + 2^{-2s} + 2^{-3s},\\
&\zeta_{C_4 \times C_2} = 1 + 3 \cdot 2^{-s} + 3 \cdot 2^{-2s} + 2^{-3s},\\
&\zeta_{C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2}(s) = 1 + 7 \cdot 2^{-s} + 7 \cdot 2^{-2s} + 2^{-3s},\\
&\zeta_{D_4}(s) = 1 + 5 \cdot 2^{-s} + 3 \cdot 2^{-2s} + 2^{-3s},\\
&\zeta_{Q_2}(s) = 1 + 2^{-s} + 3 \cdot 2^{-2s} + 2^{-3s}. \qquad (1.5)
\end{aligned}$$

∎

Remark 1.4 As for the number $a_{p^k}(G_p(m,n)) = a_{p^k}(C_{p^m} \times C_{p^n})$, one can easily obtain directly, or by using Lemma 2.3 in §2 for $\lambda = (m,n) \in \Lambda_2^+$ and $N_k(\lambda) = a_{p^k}(C_{p^m} \times C_{p^n})$.

For a positive integer n and a prime p, we denote by $v_p(n)$ the maximal exponent of p dividing n. By Propositions 1.2 and 1.3, we obtain the next theorem, which gives a negative answer for Problems [A] and [B]. We note here that the groups D_4 and Q_2 are not abelian and their zeta functions are not symmetric (cf. (1.5)).

Theorem 1.5 (1) *For a positive integer n such that $v_p(n) \geq 3$ for some odd prime p or $v_2(n) \geq 4$, there exist abelian groups and non-abelian nilpotent groups of order n which have the same zeta functions.*

(2) *For a positive integer n such that $v_p(n) \leq 2$ for each odd prime p and $v_2(n) \leq 3$, any nilpotent group G of order n is determined up to isomorphism by its zeta function $\zeta_G(s)$, and it satisfies the symmetry (0.3) if and only if G is abelian.*

§2

In this section we enumerate the number of subgroups for abelian p-groups. It is well-known that every finite abelian p-group is isomorphic to one of G_λ of the following type:

$$G_\lambda = \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_n}\mathbb{Z}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda_n^+ \qquad (2.1)$$

where

$$\Lambda^+ = \bigsqcup_{n\geq 1} \Lambda_n^+, \qquad \Lambda_n^+ = \{\, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n > 0\}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Hereafter we write $\zeta_\lambda(s)$ instead of $\zeta_{G_\lambda}(s)$. We denote by $N_k(\lambda)$ the number of subgroups of G_λ of order p^k. We note $N_k(\lambda) = 0$ if $k < 0$ or $k > |\lambda|$, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

The following is clear for $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$:

$$|G_\lambda| = p^{|\lambda|}, \quad |\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\zeta_\lambda(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{|\lambda|} N_k(\lambda) p^{-ks}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$N_1(\lambda) = (p^n - 1)/(p-1) = p^{n-1} + p^{n-2} + \cdots + p + 1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$N_k(\lambda) = N_{|\lambda|-k}(\lambda),\ 0 \leq k \leq |\lambda|\,, \tag{2.6}$$

where (2.6) is a restatement of the duality of abelian groups (cf. (0.3)). Moreover, it is known that $N_k(\lambda)$ is a polynomial in p with integral coefficients and unimodal, i.e., $N_k(\lambda) - N_{k-1}(\lambda)$ is a polynomial in p with nonnegative coefficients for $1 \leq k \leq |\lambda|/2$ (cf. [Bu1], Remark 2.7).

We prepare some notations. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$. Define

$$c_\ell(\lambda) = \lambda_{\ell+1} + \lambda_{\ell+3} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_n & \text{if } n \not\equiv \ell \pmod 2 \\ \lambda_{n-1} & \text{if } n \equiv \ell \pmod 2 \end{pmatrix},\ 1 \leq \ell \leq n-1,$$

$$c_n(\lambda) = 0, \quad c_{-\ell}(\lambda) = |\lambda| - c_\ell(\lambda), \tag{2.7}$$

in particular

$$ev_\lambda = c_1(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{[n/2]} \lambda_{2i}, \quad od_\lambda = c_{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{[n-1/2]} \lambda_{2i+1}, \tag{2.8}$$

and we divide the interval $[0, |\lambda|]$ into $(2n-1)$ small intervals $J_\ell(\lambda)$ as follows.

$$J_0(\lambda) = [ev_\lambda, od_\lambda], \tag{2.9}$$
$$J_\ell(\lambda) = [c_{\ell+1}(\lambda), c_\ell(\lambda)], \quad J_{-\ell}(\lambda) = [c_{-\ell}(\lambda), c_{-(\ell+1)}(\lambda)], \quad (1 \leq \ell \leq n-1).$$

We also define

$$a_\ell(\lambda) = \sum_{i=\ell+2}^{n} \left[\frac{i-\ell}{2}\right] \lambda_i,\ (0 \leq \ell \leq n-1), \tag{2.10}$$

where we understand $a_{n-1}(\lambda) = 0$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+,\ (n \geq 2)$, we define

$$\lambda^{(\ell)} = (\lambda_{\ell+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda_{n-\ell}^+, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq n-1, \tag{2.11}$$

and we set $\lambda' = \lambda^{(1)}$ for simplicity. It is easy to see

$$J_\ell(\lambda) = J_0(\lambda^{(\ell)}), \quad \ell \geq 1. \tag{2.12}$$

For a polynomial $g(t)$ in t, we denote by $\mathrm{hterm}(g(t))$, $\mathrm{hdeg}(g(t))$ and $\mathrm{htermcoeff}(g(t))$ its highest term, highest degree and highest coefficient in t, in order. We recall $N_k(\lambda)$ can be regarded as a polynomial of p, and set
$H_n(\lambda) = \max\{\,\mathrm{hdeg}(N_k(\lambda)) \mid 0 \leq k \leq |\lambda|\}$.

Theorem 2.1 *The highest term of $N_k(\lambda),\ \lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$, as a polynomial in p is given as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{hterm}(N_k(\lambda)) = C(n,\lambda;k)p^{\ell k + a_\ell(\lambda)}, \quad \textit{if } \ k \in J_\ell(\lambda),\ 0 \leq \ell \leq n-1, \\
&\mathrm{hterm}(N_k(\lambda)) = \mathrm{hterm}(N_{|\lambda|-k}(\lambda)), \quad \textit{if } \ |\lambda|/2 \leq k \leq |\lambda| \\
&\qquad\qquad \left(= C(n,\lambda;|\lambda|-k)p^{-\ell k + \ell|\lambda| + a_\ell(\lambda)}, \quad \textit{if } k \in J_{-\ell}(\lambda),\ \ell \geq 1\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $C(n,\lambda;k) = 1$ if $n \leq 2$, and in general

$$C(n,\lambda;k) = \begin{cases} C(n-\ell, \lambda^{(\ell)}; k) & \textit{if } \ k \in J_\ell(\lambda) = J_0(\lambda^{(\ell)}),\ \ell \geq 1, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=\max\{ev_\lambda,\, k-\lambda_1+\lambda_2\}}^{\min\{k,\, od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\}} C(n-1,\lambda';i) & \textit{if } \ k \in J_0(\lambda). \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Further, for each $\ell \geq 0$, $C(n,\lambda;k), k \in J_\ell(\lambda)$ is unimodal and symmetric with respect to $\left|\lambda^{(\ell)}\right|/2$ and $C(n,\lambda;c_\ell(\lambda)) = 1$. In particular, $H_n(\lambda) = a_0(\lambda) = \sum_{i=2}^n \left[\frac{i}{2}\right] \lambda_i$.

Remark 2.2 We see the leading coefficients of smaller sizes appear repeatedly like a fractale, since $C(n,\lambda;k) = C(n-\ell, \lambda^{(\ell)}; k)$ for $k \in J_\ell(\lambda)$ with $\ell \geq 1$. The new coefficients appear for $k \in J_0(\lambda)$.
It may happen $J_\ell(\lambda) = \{c_\ell(\lambda)\}$, but $c_\ell(\lambda) > c_{\ell+2}(\lambda)$ for any ℓ, $-n \leq \ell \leq n-2$.

We give some examples of $C(n,\lambda;k)$ for $k \in J_0(\lambda)$:

$$C(3,\lambda;k) = \begin{cases} k-\lambda_2+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2, \lambda_2+\lambda_3] \\ \lambda_3+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2+\lambda_3, \lambda_1] \\ -k+\lambda_1+\lambda_3+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_1+\lambda_3], \end{cases}$$

or

$$= \begin{cases} k-\lambda_2+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2, \lambda_1] \\ \lambda_1-\lambda_2+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_2+\lambda_3] \\ -k+\lambda_1+\lambda_3+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2+\lambda_3, \lambda_1+\lambda_3]. \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

$$C(4,\lambda;k) = \begin{cases} k-\lambda_2-\lambda_4+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2+\lambda_4, \lambda_2+\lambda_3] \\ \lambda_3-\lambda_4+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2+\lambda_3, \lambda_1+\lambda_4] \\ -k+\lambda_1+\lambda_3+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_1+\lambda_4, \lambda_1+\lambda_3], \end{cases}$$

or

$$= \begin{cases} k-\lambda_2-\lambda_4+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2+\lambda_4, \lambda_1+\lambda_4] \\ \lambda_1-\lambda_2+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_1+\lambda_4, \lambda_2+\lambda_3] \\ -k+\lambda_1+\lambda_3+1 & \text{if } k \in [\lambda_2+\lambda_3, \lambda_1+\lambda_3]. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

The following lemma follows from a result of Stehling([St]) and plays a key role for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.3 *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$, set $\lambda' = (\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda_{n-1}^+$. Then one has*

$$N_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p^i N_i(\lambda') - \sum_{i=|\lambda|+1-k}^{|\lambda'|} p^i N_i(\lambda'), \quad 0 \le k \le |\lambda|\,, \tag{2.16}$$

where the second summation appears only when $k > \lambda_1$.

Proof. For $\mu \in \Lambda_n^+$ satisfying $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_i > \mu_{i+1}$ for some $i \ge 1$, [St, Theorem 1] claims

$$N_k(\mu) = N_k(\mu^*) + p^{|\mu|-k} N_{k-\mu_i}(\mu^\vee), \tag{2.17}$$

where $\mu^* \in \Lambda_n^+$ defined by $\mu_i^* = \mu_i - 1$ and $\mu_j^* = \mu_j$ except $j = i$, and $\mu^\vee = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{i-1}, \mu_{i+1}, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \Lambda_{n-1}^+$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$, set $\widetilde{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda_n^+$ and $\lambda' = (\lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda_{n-1}^+$. Then, since $\widetilde{\lambda}^* = \lambda$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}^\vee = \lambda'$, one has by (2.17)

$$N_j(\widetilde{\lambda}) = N_j(\lambda) + p^{|\lambda|+1-j} N_{j-\lambda_1-1}(\lambda'). \tag{2.18}$$

By the duality (2.6), and again by (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned} N_j(\widetilde{\lambda}) &= N_{|\lambda|+1-j}(\widetilde{\lambda}) = N_{|\lambda|+1-j}(\lambda) + p^j N_{|\lambda'|-j}(\lambda') \\ &= N_{j-1}(\lambda) + p^j N_j(\lambda'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Summing up RHD's of (2.18) and (2.19) from $j=1$ to k, one has (the terms $N_j(k)$ is cancelled for $1 \le j \le k-1$)

$$N_k(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} p^{|\lambda|+1-j} N_{j-\lambda_1-1}(\lambda') = N_0(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} p^j N_j(\lambda'),$$

hence (setting $i = |\lambda|+1-j$ in the LHS of the above), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(\lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} p^j N_j(\lambda') - \sum_{i=|\lambda|+1-k}^{|\lambda|} p^i N_{|\lambda|-\lambda_1-i}(\lambda') \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} p^j N_j(\lambda') - \sum_{i=|\lambda|+1-k}^{|\lambda'|} p^i N_{|\lambda'|-i}(\lambda') \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} p^j N_j(\lambda') - \sum_{i=|\lambda|+1-k}^{|\lambda'|} p^i N_i(\lambda'), \end{aligned}$$

where the second summation appears only when $|\lambda|+1-k \le |\lambda'|$, i.e. $k > \lambda_1$. ∎

For $r \in \Lambda_1^+$, since G_r is a cyclic group of order p^r, one has

$$N_k(r) = 1, \quad \text{for } \ k \in J_0(r) = [0, r]. \tag{2.20}$$

Then, for $\mu \in \Lambda_2^+$, one has by Lemma 2.3

$$\mathrm{hterm}(N_k(\mu)) = \begin{cases} p^k & \text{for } \ k \in J_1(\mu) = [0, \mu_2] \\ p^{\mu_2} & \text{for } \ k \in J_0(\mu) = [ev_\mu, od_\mu] = [\mu_2, \mu_1] \\ p^{|\mu|-k} & \text{for } \ k \in J_{-1}(\mu) = [\mu_1, |\mu|]. \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

We note the following useful relations :

$$c_{-1}(\lambda') = |\lambda'| - c_1(\lambda') = ev_\lambda, \quad c_{\ell-1}(\lambda') = c_\ell(\lambda), \ (\ell \ge 1) \tag{2.22}$$
$$a_{\ell-1}(\lambda') = a_\ell(\lambda), \quad (\ell \ge 1), \tag{2.23}$$
$$a_0(\lambda) = \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + 2\lambda_4 + 2\lambda_5 + 3\lambda_6 + 3\lambda_7 + \cdots = |\lambda'| + a_1(\lambda') \tag{2.24}$$
$$a_\ell(\lambda) + c_\ell(\lambda) = a_{\ell-1}(\lambda). \tag{2.25}$$

Lemma 2.4 *Assume $n \ge 3$ and Theorem 2.1 is established for Λ_{n-1}^+, and take any $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$. Then the highest degree* $\mathrm{hdeg}(p^i N_i(\lambda'))$ *is strictly monotone increasing for $i \in [0, ev_\lambda]$, stable for $i \in [ev_\lambda, od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]$ with value $a_0(\lambda)$, and strictly monotone decreasing for $i \in [od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, |\lambda'|]$.*

Proof. We may use the result of Theorem 2.1 for $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+_{n-1}$.
For $i \in [0, c_{-1}(\lambda')]$, the highest degree $\mathrm{hdeg}(p^i N_i(\lambda'))$ is strictly monotone increasing and takes the highest value at $c_{-1}(\lambda') = ev_\lambda$ with value

$$c_{-1}(\lambda') + a_0(\lambda') = c_1(\lambda) + a_1(\lambda) = a_0(\lambda) \tag{2.26}$$

by (2.23) and (2.25).
For $i \in J_{-1}(\lambda') = [c_{-1}(\lambda'), c_{-2}(\lambda')]$, the highest degree $\mathrm{hdeg}(p^i N_i(\lambda'))$ is stable with value

$$\mathrm{hdeg}(p^i N_i(\lambda')) = |\lambda'| + a_1(\lambda') = a_0(\lambda), \tag{2.27}$$

by (2.24), and

$$c_{-2}(\lambda') = |\lambda'| - c_2(\lambda') = od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2. \tag{2.28}$$

For $i \in [c_{-2}(\lambda'), |\lambda'|]$, the highest degree $\mathrm{hdeg}(p^i N_i(\lambda'))$ is strictly monotone decreasing and takes the highest value at $i = c_{-2}(\lambda')$ with value

$$-c_{-2}(\lambda') + 2\,|\lambda'| + a_2(\lambda') = |\lambda'| + c_2(\lambda') + a_2(\lambda') = a_0(\lambda)$$

by (2.24) and (2.25). ∎

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We assume that the statement holds for $n-1$, and take any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_n$ and fix it. We set

$$(pos)_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p^i N_i(\lambda'), \quad (neg)_k = \sum_{i=|\lambda|+1-k}^{|\lambda'|} p^i N_i(\lambda') \tag{2.29}$$

where $(neg)_k$ appears only when $k > \lambda_1$. By Lemma 2.4, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hdeg}((pos)_k) = a_0(\lambda) &\iff k \geq ev_\lambda, && (2.30)\\ \mathrm{hdeg}((neg)_k) = a_0(\lambda) &\iff k > \lambda_1 \text{ and } |\lambda| - k + 1 \leq od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ &\iff k \geq ev_\lambda + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1. && (2.31) \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that the condition (2.31) yields $k > \lambda_1$ and $k > ev_\lambda$.

Case 1 [$k \leq ev_\lambda$]
There exists an $\ell \geq 1$ such that $k \in J_{\ell-1}(\lambda') = J_\ell(\lambda)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hterm}((pos)_k) &= \mathrm{hterm}(p^k N_k(\lambda')) = C(n-1, \lambda'; k) p^{\ell k + a_{\ell-1}(\lambda')} \\ &= C(n-1, \lambda'; k) p^{\ell k + a_\ell(\lambda)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

If $k \leq \lambda_1$, (2.32) coincides with $\mathrm{hterm}(N_k(\lambda))$. Assume $k > \lambda_1$. Then, since $c_{\ell-1}(\lambda') \geq k$,

$$|\lambda| - k + 1 \geq |\lambda| - c_{\ell-1}(\lambda') + 1 = |\lambda'| - (c_{(\ell-1)}(\lambda') - \lambda_1 - 1) \geq c_{-(\ell+1)}(\lambda'),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hdeg}((neg)_k)) &= \mathrm{hdeg}(p^{|\lambda|-k+1}N_{|\lambda|-k+1}(\lambda')) \qquad (2.33)\\ &\le -\ell(|\lambda|-k+1)+(\ell+1)\,|\lambda'|+a_{\ell+1}(\lambda') = -\ell\lambda_1+|\lambda'|+\ell(k-1)+a_{\ell+2}(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.32) and (2.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hdeg}((pos)_k)) - \mathrm{hdeg}((neg)_k)) &\ge a_\ell(\lambda) - a_{\ell+2}(\lambda) - |\lambda'| + \ell\lambda_1 + \ell\\ &= -(\lambda_2+\cdots+\lambda_{\ell+1}) + \ell\lambda_1 + \ell > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, independently whether $k > \lambda_1$ or not, we have for $k \le ev_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hterm}(N_k(\lambda)) &= \mathrm{hterm}(p^k N_k(\lambda'))\\ &= C(n-1,\lambda';k)p^{\ell k+a_\ell(\lambda)} \quad (k\in J_\ell(\lambda), \ell\ge 1). \qquad (2.34)\end{aligned}$$

Further, if $k \in J_\ell(\lambda)$ with $\ell \ge 2$, then we see

$$\begin{aligned}C(n,\lambda;k) &= C(n-1,\lambda';k) = C(n-1-(\ell-1),\lambda'^{(\ell-1)};k)\\ &= C(n-\ell,\lambda^{(\ell)};k), \qquad (2.35)\end{aligned}$$

and in particular,

$$C(n,\lambda;c_\ell(\lambda)) = C(n-1,\lambda';c_{\ell-1}(\lambda')) = 1, \quad \ell\ge 2. \qquad (2.36)$$

Case 2 $[k \ge od_\lambda]$
Since $N_k(\lambda) = N_{|\lambda|-k}(\lambda)$ and $|\lambda|-k \le ev_\lambda$, the result follows from Case1. Thus, for some $\ell \ge 1$, $k \in J_{-\ell}(\lambda)$ and $|\lambda|-k \in J_\ell(\lambda)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hterm}(N_k(\lambda)) &= C(n,\lambda;|\lambda|-k)p^{\ell(|\lambda|-k)+a_\ell(\lambda)}\\ &= C(n-\ell,\lambda^{(\ell)};|\lambda|-k)p^{\ell(|\lambda|-k)+a_\ell(\lambda)}. \qquad (2.37)\end{aligned}$$

Case 3 $[ev_\lambda \le k \le ev_\lambda+\lambda_1-\lambda_2]$
By (2.30) and (2.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{hdeg}(N_k(\lambda)) = \mathrm{hdeg}((pos)_k) = a_0(\lambda),\\ &\mathrm{htermcoeff}(N_k(\lambda)) = \sum_{i=ev_\lambda}^{\min\{k,\,od_\lambda-\lambda_1+\lambda_2\}} C(n-1,\lambda';i). \qquad (2.38)\end{aligned}$$

Case 4 $[ev_\lambda+\lambda_1-\lambda_2+1 \le k \le od_\lambda]$
We see $\mathrm{hdeg}((pos)_k) = \mathrm{hdeg}((neg)_k) = a_0(\lambda)$ and $|\lambda|-k+1 \ge ev_\lambda+1$, and the difference of the highest coefficients becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{htermcoeff}((pos)_k) - \mathrm{htermcoeff}((neg)_k)\\ &= \sum_{i=ev_\lambda}^{\min\{k,\,od_\lambda-\lambda_1+\lambda_2\}} C(n-1,\lambda';i) - \sum_{i=|\lambda|-k+1}^{od_\lambda-\lambda_1+\lambda_2} C(n-1,\lambda';i). \qquad (2.39)\end{aligned}$$

Since $C(n-1,\lambda';i)$ is symmetric for $i \in J_{-1}(\lambda') = [ev_\lambda, od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2]$ with respect to $(|\lambda| - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - |\lambda| + k &= k - ev_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ &< \min\{k,\, od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\} - ev_\lambda + 1 \end{aligned}$$

we see (2.39) becomes

$$\sum_{i=k-\lambda_1+\lambda_2}^{\min\{k,\, od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\}} C(n-1,\lambda';i) > 0, \tag{2.40}$$

and $\mathrm{hdeg}(N_k(\lambda)) = a_0(\lambda)$ with coefficient (2.40).

By Case 3 and Case 4, we obtain for $k \in J_0(\lambda) = [ev_\lambda, od_\lambda]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{hterm}(N_k(\lambda)) = C(n,\lambda;k)p^{a_0(\lambda)}, \\ &C(n,\lambda;k) = \sum_{\max\{ev_\lambda,\, k-\lambda_1+\lambda_2\}}^{\min\{k,\, od_\lambda - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\}} C(n-1,\lambda';k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

By (2.41) and the induction hypothesis, the unimodality of $C(n,\lambda;k)$ for $k \in J_0(\lambda)$ is clear, and one has for $c_1(\lambda) = ev_\lambda = c_{-1}(\lambda')$,

$$C(n,\lambda;c_1(\lambda)) = C(n-1,\lambda';c_1(\lambda)) = C(n-1,\lambda',c_{-1}(\lambda')) = 1, \tag{2.42}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. ∎

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1, we obtain a result for zeta functions, where we don't need the information of the leading coefficients, that of the highest degrees is enough.

Theorem 2.5 *The zeta function $\zeta_\lambda(s)$ determines $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.*

Proof. The zeta function $\zeta_\lambda(s)$ determines $|\lambda|$ and n for which $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ (cf. (2.5)). Take $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_n^+$ such that $\zeta_\lambda(s) = \zeta_\mu(s)$, then $\mathrm{hdeg}(N_k(\lambda)) = \mathrm{hdeg}(N_k(\mu))$ at any k. Since the statement for $n \le 2$ is clear by (2.20) and (2.21), we consider the case $n \ge 3$. Assume $\lambda_n < \mu_n$. Taking $k = \lambda_n + 1$, we have $(n-2)(\lambda_n+1) + \lambda_n = (n-1)(\lambda_n+1)$ by Theorem 2.1, which is a contradiction; thus $\lambda_n = \mu_n$. In the same way, we obtain $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ from $i = n-1$ to $i = 1$ in order. Thus $\lambda = \mu$. ∎

Since any finite abelian group is decomposed into the direct product of its Sylow p-subgroups, combining Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 1.2, we obtain the affirmative statement for Problem [A]:

Theorem 2.6 *Within finite abelian groups, the zeta function $\zeta_G(s)$ determines the isomorphism class of G.*

Remark 2.7 The enumerating subgroups of finite abelian groups is a classical problem(cf. [Bu1], [Bu2]). The number $g_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(p)$ of subgroups H of G_λ such that $H \cong G_\nu$ and $G_\lambda/H \cong G_\mu$ was studied by G. Hall in 1950's, and it is known to have integral coefficients as a polynomial in p. These numbers $g_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(p)$ appear as coefficients of the product of Hall-Littlewood symmetric polynomials $P_\lambda(x;t)$, which can be considered as a system of orthogonal polynomials associated with the root system of type A_n, and I. G. Macdonald have introduced and studied more general orthogonal polynomials associated with various root systems(cf. [Mac1], [Mac2]). The unimodality of $N_k(\lambda)$ is proved by L. M. Butler by using a result on Hall-Littlewood polynomials([Bu1]).

Remark 2.8 The zeta functions $\zeta_\lambda(s)$ has a close relation to Eisenstein series of GL_{2n} and local densities of square matrices, which we explain after [Sa]. Let $T \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of rank n and a prime p. Define

$$b_p(T,s) = \int_{M_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \nu_p(X)^{-s} \mathbf{e}_p(2\pi i \,\mathrm{tr}({}^tTX)) dX,$$

where dX is the Haar measure on $M_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\nu_p(X)$ is the product of the p-denominators of the elementary divisors of X and $\mathbf{e}_p(x) = \exp(2\pi i x')$, x' being the fractional part. Then $b(T,s) = \prod_p b_p(T,s)$ appears as a main term in the Fourier expansion of $E_{n,n}(Y,s)$ for symmetric $Y \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$, and $b(T,s)$ is an analogue of the Siegel singular series. The local density $\alpha_p(I_m,T)$ is defined by

$$\alpha_p(I_m,T) = \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \frac{1}{p^{\ell(m^2-n^2)}} \sharp\left\{ (X,Y) \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{Z}/p^\ell\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} \;\middle|\; {}^tXY \equiv T \mod p^\ell \right\}.$$

$b_p(T;s)$ and $\alpha_p(I_m,T)$ are determined by the two-sided $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-coset containing T, which is represented by some $D_\lambda = Diag(p^{\lambda_1},\dots,p^{\lambda_n})$ with $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Lambda_n^+} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0\}$. Then it is known (cf. [Sa, Theorem 2], also [BB])

$$b_p(D_\lambda,s) = \zeta_\lambda(s-n) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1-p^{-(s-i)}),$$
$$b_p(D_\lambda,m) = \alpha_p(I_m,D_\lambda), \quad (m \geq n \geq 1).$$

Here λ can be regarded in $\Lambda^+ = \cup_n \Lambda_n^+$ unless $\lambda = (0,\dots,0)$, which corresponds to the trivial group $\{e\}$ and $\zeta_{\{e\}}(s) = 1$. By Theorem 2.5, we see $b_p(D_\lambda,s)$ is different for each $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Lambda_n^+}$.

§3

We keep the notation in §2, especially G_λ indicates the abelian p-group of order $p^{|\lambda|}$ defined in (2.1) for each $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. We consider whether there is a group that is not isomorphic to G_λ but has the same zeta function with G_λ or not.

Proposition 3.1 (1) *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ and assume $n = 1$ or $\lambda_1 = 1$. For a group G, the coincidence $\zeta_G(s) = \zeta_\lambda(s)$ implies the isomorphism $G \cong G_\lambda$.*

(2) *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ with $n \geq 2$. Assume $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_1 \geq 3$ if $p = 2$. Then there exists a non-abelian group $\widetilde{G_\lambda}$ for which $\zeta_{\widetilde{G_\lambda}}(s) = \zeta_\lambda(s)$, e.g., set*

$$\widetilde{G_\lambda} = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \mid \begin{array}{l} a_i^{p^{\lambda_i}} = 1 \ (1 \leq i \leq n),\ a_n a_1 a_n^{-1} = a_1^{1+p^{\lambda_1 - 1}} \\ a_i a_j = a_j a_i \text{ unless } \{i, j\} = \{1, n\} \end{array} \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. (1) The result for the case $n = 1$ follows from Proposition 1.1. Next, let $\lambda = (1^n),\ n \geq 2$ and assume the zeta function of a group G coincides with $\zeta_\lambda(s)$. Since

$$a_p(G) = N_1(\lambda) = \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1},$$

we see the exponent of G is p. Denote by $Z(G)$ the center of G and by $Z_G(a)$ the centralizer of $a(\in G)$ in G. Then any subgroup of G of order p^2 is isomorphic to $C_p \times C_p$, and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{p^2}(G) &\leq \frac{(|Z(G)| - 1)(p^n - p)}{(p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)} + \sum_{a \in G \setminus Z(G)} \frac{|Z_G(a)| - p}{(p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)} \\ &\leq \frac{(p^r - 1)(p^n - p) + (p^n - p^r)(p^{n-1} - p)}{(p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p^r = |Z(G)|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(\lambda) - a_{p^2}(G) &\geq \frac{(p^n - 1)(p^n - p) - \{(p^r - 1)(p^n - p) + (p^n - p^r)(p^{n-1} - p)\}}{(p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)} \\ &= \frac{(p^{2n} - p^{n+r})(1 - p^{-1})}{(p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see the identity $a_{p^2}(G) = N_2(\lambda)$ implies $r = n$, i.e., G is abelian, hence $G \cong G_\lambda$ (the elementary abelian group of rank n).

(2) The strategy is similar to the case $G_p(m, n)$ in §1, indeed $G_p(m, n) \cong \widetilde{G_\lambda}$ with $\lambda = (m, n) \in \Lambda_2^+$. Take any $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ satisfying the condition of (2) and construct $\widetilde{G_\lambda}$. Any element $x \in \widetilde{G_\lambda}$ can be expressed uniquely as

$$x = a_1^{e_1} \cdots a_n^{e_n}, \quad 0 \leq e_i < p^{\lambda_i} \ (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

and

$$ord(x) = p^{\lambda_1} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p \not| e_1.$$

Further we see

$$x^r = a_1^{e_1(r+\frac{r(r-1)}{2}e_n p^{\lambda_1-1})} \prod_{i\geq 2} a_i^{re_i}, \quad (r \geq 1),$$

$$a_n x a_n^{-1} = a_1^{e_1(1+p^{\lambda_1-1})} a_2^{e_2} \cdots a_n^{e_n} = x^{1+p^{\lambda_1-1}}.$$

Thus, for any $x \in \widetilde{G_\lambda}$ of order p^{λ_1}, $\langle x \rangle$ is normal in $\widetilde{G_\lambda}$, $\widetilde{G_\lambda}/\langle x \rangle \cong C_{p^{\lambda_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{\lambda_n}}$, and the set of subgroups of $\widetilde{G_\lambda}$ containing $\langle x \rangle$ is one to one corresponding to the set of subgroups of $C_{p^{\lambda_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{\lambda_n}}$. On the other hand, any subgroup of $\widetilde{G_\lambda}$ without an element of order p^{λ_1} is contained in $\langle {a_1}^p, a_2, \cdots, a_n \rangle \cong C_{p^{\lambda_1-1}} \times C_{p^{\lambda_2}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{\lambda_n}}$. The above situation is similar to the case of G_λ, and we see there is a natural bijection between the set of subgroups of $\widetilde{G_\lambda}$ and that of G_λ, and their zeta functions coincide. ∎

Remark 3.2 The zeta function of the above group $\widetilde{G_\lambda}$ satisfies the symmetry (0.3), by virtue of its coincidence with that of G_λ. Hence, one has many examples of non-abelian groups within nilpotent groups having zeta functions with symmetry. By neumerical data, we know some examples of non-abelian groups whose zeta functions do not coincide with that of any abelian group but satisfies the symmetry, e.g., the group of GAP ID $(2^4, 10)$ (the smallest order) or GAP ID $(3^4, 14)$ (the smallest odd order).

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^+$ with $n \geq 2$. Assume $\lambda \neq (1, \ldots, 1)$ and also $\lambda \neq (2, 1 \ldots, 1)$ if $p = 2$. Then, we expect there exists a non-abelian p-group for which $\zeta_G(s) = \zeta_\lambda(s)$.

Acknowledgement: The author expresses her thanks to A. Hoshi who checked the groups of order 27 by using GAP. She is thankful also to the members of WINJ, since WINJ7 was a good opportunity to think about this theme.

References

[BB] J. Beineke and D. Bump: A certain formula for divisor functions associated to lattices, *Forum Math.* **18** (2006), 869 – 906.

[Bu1] L. M. Butler: A unimodality result in the enumeration of subgroups of a finite groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101**(1987), 771 – 775.

[Bu2] L. M. Butler: Subgroup lattices and symmetric functions, *Memoires Amer. Math. Soc.* **539**(1994).

[GSS] F. J. Grunewald, D. Segal and G. C. Smith, Subgroups of finite index in nilpotent groups, *Invent. math.***93**(1988), 185 – 223.

[Mac1] I. G. Macdonald: *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press (1979).

[Mac2] I. G. Macdonald: Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* **45**(2000), Article B45a.

[Sau] M. P. F. du Sautoy: Finitely generated groups, p-adic analytic groups and Poincaré series, *Ann. Math.* **137**(1993), 639 – 670.

[SG] M. P. F. du Sautoy and F. J. Grunewald: Analytic properties of zeta functions and subgroup growth, *Ann. Math.* **152**(2000), 793 – 833.

[Sa] F. Sato: Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series of GL_n, local densities of square matrices and subgroups of finite abelian groups, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* **55**(2006), 77 – 95.

[St] T. Stehling: On computing the number of subgroups of a finite abelian group, *Combinatorica* **12**(1992), 475 – 479.